



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques

www.elsevier.com/locate/bulsci



On absolute matrix summability factors of infinite series and Fourier series



Şebnem Yıldız

Department of Mathematics, Kırşehir Ahi Evran University, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 3 May 2021

Available online 21 May 2021

MSC:

26D15

40D15

42A24

46A45

Keywords:

Riesz mean

Hölder's inequality

Minkowski's inequality

Absolute matrix summability

Infinite series

Fourier series

ABSTRACT

Quite recently, Bor (2021) [22] has proved two main theorems dealing with absolute Riesz summability factors of infinite series and Fourier series by using an almost increasing sequence. In this paper, we have generalized these theorems to the $|A, p_n|_k$ summability method.

Crown Copyright © 2021 Published by Elsevier Masson SAS.

All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A positive sequence (b_n) is said to be almost increasing if there exists a positive increasing sequence (z_n) and two positive constants A and B such that $Az_n \leq b_n \leq Bz_n$ (see [1]). For any sequence (λ_n) we write that

$$\Delta^2 \lambda_n = \Delta \lambda_n - \Delta \lambda_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta \lambda_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}.$$

E-mail address: sebnemyildiz@ahievran.edu.tr.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bulsci.2021.103000>

0007-4497/Crown Copyright © 2021 Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

A sequence (λ_n) is said to be of bounded variation, denoted by $(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}$, if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta \lambda_n| < \infty.$$

Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with partial sums (s_n) . By t_n^α we denote the n th Cesàro means of order α , with $\alpha > -1$, of the sequence (na_n) , that is (see [23])

$$t_n^\alpha = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v, \quad (t_n^1 = t_n)$$

where

$$A_n^\alpha = \frac{(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2) \dots (\alpha + n)}{n!} = O(n^\alpha), \quad A_{-n}^\alpha = 0 \quad \text{for } n > 0.$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|C, \alpha|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if (see [25])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |t_n^\alpha|^k < \infty.$$

If we take $\alpha = 1$, then $|C, \alpha|_k$ summability reduces to $|C, 1|_k$ summability.

Let (p_n) be a sequence of positive real numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (P_{-i} = p_{-i} = 0, \quad i \geq 1).$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation $(s_n) \rightarrow (v_n)$ with

$$v_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v s_v, \quad P_n \neq 0$$

defines the sequence (v_n) of the Riesz mean or simply the (\bar{N}, p_n) mean of the sequence (s_n) generated by the sequence of coefficients (p_n) (see [26]).

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if (see [2])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |v_n - v_{n-1}|^k < \infty.$$

When $p_n = 1$ for all values of n , then we get $|C, 1|_k$ summability.

Let $A = (a_{nv})$ be a normal matrix, i.e., a lower triangular matrix with nonzero diagonal entries. Then A defines the sequence-to-sequence transformation, mapping the sequence $s = (s_n)$ to $As = (A_n(s))$, where

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s_v, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|A, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$, if (see [29])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |A_n(s) - A_{n-1}(s)|^k < \infty.$$

If we take $p_n = 1$ for all n , then $|A, p_n|_k$ summability reduces to $|A|_k$ summability (see [28]). If we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$, then $|A, p_n|_k$ summability reduces to $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability. If we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ and $k = 1$, then $|A, p_n|_k$ summability reduces to $|\bar{N}, p_n|$ summability (see [30]). Also if we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ and $p_n = 1$ for all n , then $|A, p_n|_k$ summability is the same as $|C, 1|_k$ summability.

2. Known result

Many works dealing with absolute matrix summability and the absolute summability factors of infinite series and Fourier series have been done in (see [3–22], [27], [31–36]). Among them, in [22], the following theorem has been proved.

Theorem 1. *Let (X_n) be an almost increasing sequence. If the sequences $(X_n), (\lambda_n),$ and (p_n) satisfy the conditions*

$$|\lambda_n| X_m = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{1}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m n X_n |\Delta^2 \lambda_n| = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{|t_n|^k}{n X_n^{k-1}} = O(X_m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{p_n}{P_n} \frac{|t_n|^k}{X_n^{k-1}} = O(X_m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{4}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{P_n}{n} = O(P_m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{5}$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$.

3. Main result

Given a normal matrix $A = (a_{nv})$, we associate two lower semimatrices $\bar{A} = (\bar{a}_{nv})$ and $\hat{A} = (\hat{a}_{nv})$ as follows:

$$\bar{a}_{nv} = \sum_{i=v}^n a_{ni}, \quad n, v = 0, 1, \tag{6}$$

and

$$\hat{a}_{00} = \bar{a}_{00} = a_{00}, \quad \hat{a}_{nv} = \bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1,v}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{7}$$

It may be noted that \bar{A} and \hat{A} are the well-known matrices of series-to-sequence and series-to-series transformations, respectively. Then, we have

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s_v = \sum_{v=0}^n \bar{a}_{nv} a_v \tag{8}$$

and

$$\bar{\Delta}A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n \hat{a}_{nv} a_v. \tag{9}$$

The aim of this paper is to generalize Theorem 1 for $|A, p_n|_k$ summability method by using the above notations. Now, we shall prove the following general theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let (X_n) be an almost increasing sequence and $A = (a_{nv})$ be a positive normal matrix such that*

$$\bar{a}_{n0} = 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{10}$$

$$a_{n-1,v} \geq a_{nv}, \quad \text{for } n \geq v + 1, \tag{11}$$

$$a_{nn} = O\left(\frac{p_n}{P_n}\right), \tag{12}$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\hat{a}_{n,v+1}|}{v} = O(a_{nn}), \tag{13}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^m a_{nn} \frac{|t_n|^k}{X_n^{k-1}} = O(X_m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \tag{14}$$

If the conditions (1)-(3) of Theorem 1 are satisfied, then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|A, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$.

Remark. It should be noted that we apply to Theorem 2 to the weighted mean in which $A = (a_{nv})$ is defined as $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ when $0 \leq v \leq n$, where $P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n$. In this case, the conditions (10)-(12) are obvious, the condition (13) reduces to (5) and the condition (14) reduces to (4). So, Theorem 2 returns to Theorem 1.

We need the following lemma for the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma ([7]). Under the conditions of Theorem 1 we have the following

$$nX_n|\Delta\lambda_n| = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n|\Delta\lambda_n| < \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let (W_n) denote the A-transform of the series $\sum a_n\lambda_n$. Then, by (8) and (9), we have

$$\bar{\Delta}W_n = \sum_{v=0}^n \hat{a}_{nv}a_v\lambda_v.$$

Applying Abel’s transformation to this sum, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Delta}W_n &= \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{\hat{a}_{nv}\lambda_v}{v} va_v = \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta\left(\frac{\hat{a}_{nv}\lambda_v}{v}\right) \sum_{r=1}^v ra_r + \frac{\hat{a}_{nn}\lambda_n}{n} \sum_{v=1}^n va_v \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta\left(\frac{\hat{a}_{nv}\lambda_v}{v}\right)(v+1)t_v + \hat{a}_{nn}\lambda_n \frac{n+1}{n} t_n \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})\lambda_v t_v \frac{v+1}{v} + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \Delta\lambda_v t_v \frac{v+1}{v} \\ &\quad + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \lambda_{v+1} \frac{t_v}{v} + a_{nn}\lambda_n t_n \frac{n+1}{n} \\ &= W_{n,1} + W_{n,2} + W_{n,3} + W_{n,4}. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 2, by Minkowski’s inequality, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |W_{n,r}|^k < \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4. \tag{15}$$

Firstly, by using the conditions (6)-(7) and (10)-(11), we have $\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \leq a_{nn}$, and $\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \leq a_{vv}$. Now, applying Hölder’s inequality with indices k and k' , where $k > 1$ and $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$, we obtain that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |W_{n,1}|^k \leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{v+1}{v} \right| |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\lambda_v| |t_v| \right\}^k$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k \right\} \times \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \right\}^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k \right\} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v|^{k-1} |\lambda_v| |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m a_{vv} \frac{|t_v|^k}{X_v^{k-1}} |\lambda_v| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_v| \sum_{r=1}^v a_{rr} \frac{|t_r|^k}{X_r^{k-1}} + O(1) |\lambda_m| \sum_{v=1}^m a_{vv} \frac{|t_v|^k}{X_v^{k-1}} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_v| X_v + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\
&= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 2 and Lemma. By using Hölder's inequality, and also the fact that $\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \leq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |W_{n,2}|^k \leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{v+1}{v} \|\hat{a}_{n,v+1}\| |\Delta \lambda_v| |t_v| \right\}^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| |t_v| \right\}^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| (v |\Delta \lambda_v|)^k \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \right\} \times \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\hat{a}_{n,v+1}|}{v} \right\}^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (v |\Delta \lambda_v|)^{k-1} v |\Delta \lambda_v| |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|t_v|^k}{v X_v^{k-1}} v |\Delta \lambda_v| \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|t_v|^k}{v X_v^{k-1}} v |\Delta \lambda_v| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta (v |\Delta \lambda_v|) \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{|t_r|^k}{r X_r^{k-1}} + O(1) m |\Delta \lambda_m| \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|t_v|^k}{v X_v^{k-1}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta(v|\Delta\lambda_v)|X_v + O(1)m|\Delta\lambda_m|X_m \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} vX_v|\Delta^2\lambda_v| + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} X_v|\Delta\lambda_v| + O(1)m|\Delta\lambda_m|X_m \\
 &= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 2 and Lemma. Again, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |W_{n,3}|^k = \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \lambda_{v+1} \frac{t_v}{v} \right|^k \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\lambda_{v+1}| \frac{|t_v|}{v} \right\}^k \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\lambda_{v+1}|^k \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \right\} \times \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\hat{a}_{n,v+1}|}{v} \right\}^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\lambda_{v+1}|^k \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_{v+1}|^{k-1} |\lambda_{v+1}| \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_{v+1}| \frac{|t_v|^k}{vX_v^{k-1}} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta|\lambda_{v+1}| \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{|t_r|^k}{rX_r^{k-1}} + O(1)|\lambda_{m+1}| \sum_{v=1}^m \frac{|t_v|^k}{vX_v^{k-1}} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta\lambda_{v+1}|X_{v+1} + O(1)|\lambda_{m+1}|X_{m+1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=2}^{m-1} |\Delta\lambda_v|X_v + O(1)|\lambda_{m+1}|X_{m+1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta\lambda_v|X_v + O(1)|\lambda_{m+1}|X_{m+1} \\
 &= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 2 and Lemma. Finally, as in $W_{n,1}$, we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} |W_{n,4}|^k = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{k-1} a_{nn}^k |\lambda_n|^{k-1} |\lambda_n| |t_n|^k$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} a_{nn} |\lambda_n|^{k-1} |\lambda_n| |t_n|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m a_{nn} |\lambda_n| \frac{|t_n|^k}{X_n^{k-1}} = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of hypotheses of Theorem 2 and Lemma. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

If we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ in Theorem 2, then we obtain Theorem 1 dealing with $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability method. If we take $p_n = 1$ for all n in Theorem 2, then we have a new result for $|A|_k$ summability method. Also if we take $p_n = 1$ for all n and $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ in Theorem 2, then we have a new theorem on $|C, 1|_k$ summability method. Finally, if we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ and $k = 1$ in Theorem 2, then we have another new result for $|\bar{N}, p_n|$ summability method.

4. An application of absolute matrix summability to trigonometric Fourier series

Let f be a periodic function with period 2π and integrable (L) over $(-\pi, \pi)$. The trigonometric Fourier series of f is defined as

$$f(x) \sim \frac{1}{2}a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(x).$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+t) + f(x-t)\}, \\
 \phi_\alpha(t) &= \frac{\alpha}{t^\alpha} \int_0^t (t-u)^{\alpha-1} \phi(u) du, \quad (\alpha > 0).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that if $\phi_1(t) \in \mathcal{BV}(0, \pi)$, then $t_n(x) = O(1)$, where $t_n(x)$ is the $(C, 1)$ mean of the sequence $(nC_n(x))$ (see [24]).

The following theorem is known dealing with $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability factors of Fourier series.

Theorem 3 ([22]). *Let (X_n) be an almost increasing sequence. If $\phi_1(t) \in \mathcal{BV}(0, \pi)$ and the sequences (p_n) , (λ_n) , and (X_n) satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1, then the series $\sum C_n(x)\lambda_n$ is summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$.*

Now, we generalize Theorem 3 for $|A, p_n|_k$ summability method in the following form.

Theorem 4. *Let (X_n) be an almost increasing sequence, and A be a positive normal matrix as in Theorem 2. If $\phi_1(t) \in \mathcal{BV}(0, \pi)$, and the sequences (p_n) , (λ_n) , and (X_n)*

satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2, then the series $\sum C_n(x)\lambda_n$ is summable $|A, p_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$.

Applications

1. If we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ in Theorem 4, then we have Theorem 3 for the factored trigonometric Fourier series.
2. If we take $p_n = 1$ for all values of n in Theorem 4, then we have a new result on $|A|_k$ summability method for the factored trigonometric Fourier series.
3. If we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ and $p_n = 1$ for all values of n in Theorem 4, then we have a new result concerning $|C, 1|_k$ summability method for the factored trigonometric Fourier series.
4. If we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ and $k = 1$ in Theorem 4, then we have another new result for $|\bar{N}, p_n|$ summability method for the factored trigonometric Fourier series.

Declaration of competing interest

The author declares that she has no competing interests.

References

- [1] N.K. Bari, S.B. Stečkin, Best approximation and differential of two conjugate functions, Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obš. 5 (1956) 483–522 (in Russian).
- [2] H. Bor, On two summability methods, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 97 (1985) 147–149.
- [3] H. Bor, On $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability factors, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 94 (1985) 419–422.
- [4] H. Bor, B. Kuttner, On the necessary conditions for absolute weighted arithmetic mean summability factors, Acta Math. Hung. 54 (1989) 57–61.
- [5] H. Bor, On quasi-monotone sequences and their applications, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 43 (1991) 187–192.
- [6] H. Bor, On absolute weighted mean summability methods, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 25 (1993) 265–268.
- [7] H. Bor, On the absolute Riesz summability factors, Rocky Mt. J. Math. 24 (1994) 1263–1271.
- [8] H. Bor, On the local property of factored Fourier series, Z. Anal. Anwend. 16 (1997) 769–773.
- [9] H. Bor, A study on local properties of Fourier series, Nonlinear Anal. 57 (2004) 191–197.
- [10] H. Bor, A note on local property of factored Fourier series, Nonlinear Anal. 64 (2006) 513–517.
- [11] H. Bor, D.S. Yu, P. Zhou, On local property of absolute summability of factored Fourier series, Filomat 28 (2014) 1675–1686.
- [12] H. Bor, Some new results on infinite series and Fourier series, Positivity 19 (2015) 467–473.
- [13] H. Bor, Some new results on absolute Riesz summability of infinite series and Fourier series, Positivity 20 (2016) 599–605.
- [14] H. Bor, On absolute weighted mean summability of infinite series and Fourier series, Filomat 30 (2016) 2803–2807.
- [15] H. Bor, An application of power increasing sequences to infinite series and Fourier series, Filomat 31 (2017) 1543–1547.
- [16] H. Bor, Absolute weighted arithmetic mean summability factors of infinite series and trigonometric Fourier series, Filomat 31 (2017) 4963–4968.
- [17] H. Bor, An application of quasi-monotone sequences to infinite series and Fourier series, Anal. Math. Phys. 8 (2018) 77–83.
- [18] H. Bor, On absolute summability of factored infinite series and trigonometric Fourier series, Results Math. 73 (2018) 116.

- [19] H. Bor, On absolute Riesz summability factors of infinite series and their application to Fourier series, *Georgian Math. J.* 26 (2019) 361–366.
- [20] H. Bor, Certain new factor theorems for infinite series and trigonometric Fourier series, *Quaest. Math.* 43 (2020) 441–448.
- [21] H. Bor, A new note on factored infinite series and trigonometric Fourier series, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 359 (2021) 323–328.
- [22] H. Bor, Factored infinite series and Fourier series involving almost increasing sequences, *Bull. Sci. Math.* 169 (2021) 102990.
- [23] E. Cesàro, Sur la multiplication des series, *Bull. Sci. Math.* 14 (1890) 114–120.
- [24] K.K. Chen, Functions of bounded variation and the Cesàro means of Fourier series, *Acad. Sin. Sci. Rec.* 1 (1945) 283–289.
- [25] T.M. Flett, On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 7 (1957) 113–141.
- [26] G.H. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1949.
- [27] J.O. Lee, On the summability of infinite series and Huseyin Bor, *J. Hist. Math.* 30 (2017) 353–365 (in Korean).
- [28] N.T. Miller, On strong summability, *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* 14 (34) (1979) 87–97.
- [29] W.T. Sulaiman, Inclusion theorems for absolute matrix summability methods of an infinite series, IV, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 34 (2003) 1547–1557.
- [30] G. Sunouchi, Notes on Fourier analysis. XVIII. Absolute summability of series with constant terms, *Tohoku Math. J.* 1 (1949) 57–65.
- [31] Ş. Yıldız, On application of matrix summability to Fourier series, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 41 (2018) 664–670.
- [32] Ş. Yıldız, On the absolute matrix summability factors of Fourier series, *Math. Notes* 103 (2018) 297–303.
- [33] Ş. Yıldız, On the generalizations of some factors theorems for infinite series and Fourier series, *Filomat* 33 (2019) 4343–4351.
- [34] Ş. Yıldız, Matrix application of power increasing sequences to infinite series and Fourier series, *Ukr. Math. J.* 72 (2020) 730–740.
- [35] Ş. Yıldız, A variation on absolute weighted mean summability factors of Fourier series and its conjugate series, *Bol. Soc. Parana. Mat.* 38 (2020) 105–113.
- [36] Ş. Yıldız, A recent extension of the weighted mean summability of infinite series, *J. Appl. Math. Inform.* 39 (2021) 117–124.