



On Medium $*$ -Clean Rings

Huanyin Chen, Marjan Sheibani Abdolyousefi and Handan Kose

Abstract. A $*$ -ring R is called a medium $*$ -clean ring if every element in R is the sum or difference of an element in its Jacobson radical and a projection that commute. We prove that a ring R is medium $*$ -clean if and only if R is strongly $*$ -clean and $R/J(R)$ is a Boolean ring, \mathbb{Z}_3 or the product of such rings, if and only if R weakly J - $*$ -clean and $a^2 \in R$ is uniquely $*$ -clean for all $a \in R$, if and only if every idempotent lifts modulo $J(R)$, R is abelian and $R/J(R)$ weakly $*$ -Boolean. A subclass of medium $*$ -clean rings with many nilpotents is thereby characterized.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16W10; Secondary 16E50.

Keywords. Projection, Jacobson radical, homomorphic image, $*$ -clean ring.

1. Introduction

Throughout, all rings are associative with an identity. An involution of a ring R is an operation $*$: $R \rightarrow R$ such that $(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*$, $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ and $(x^*)^* = x$ for all $x, y \in R$. A ring R with involution $*$ is called a $*$ -ring. For general $*$ -ring theory, we refer the reader [2]. An element e in a $*$ -ring R is called a projection if $e = e^* = e^2$. Recently, the concepts of clean rings are considered for any $*$ -ring. A $*$ -ring R is strongly $*$ -clean if every element in R is the sum of a unit and a projection [6, 9] and [12]. A $*$ -ring R is weakly J - $*$ -clean if every element in R is the sum or difference of an element in its Jacobson radical and a projection. Such rings are the natural generalizations of weakly nil-clean rings (see [1, 11]). The motivation of this paper is to explore the structure of certain weakly J - $*$ -clean rings and obtain the relations to other closed classes.

A $*$ -ring R is called a medium $*$ -clean ring if every element of R is the sum or difference of an element in its Jacobson radical and a projection that commute. Clearly, $\{\text{strongly } J\text{-}*\text{-clean rings}\} \subset \{\text{medium } *\text{-clean rings}\} \subset \{\text{weakly } J\text{-}*\text{-clean rings}\}$. Here, a $*$ -ring R is strongly J - $*$ -clean if every element is the sum of a projection and a unit that commute. We shall prove that medium $*$ -clean rings and abelian weakly J - $*$ -clean rings coincide with each other. We show that a $*$ -ring R is medium $*$ -clean if and only if R

is strongly $*$ -clean and $R/J(R)$ is a Boolean ring, \mathbb{Z}_3 or the product of such rings, if and only if R weakly J - $*$ -clean and $a^2 \in R$ is uniquely $*$ -clean for all $a \in R$, if and only if every idempotent lifts modulo $J(R)$, R is abelian and $R/J(R)$ weakly $*$ -Boolean. A subclass of medium $*$ -clean rings with many nilpotents is characterized in terms of medium $*$ -cleanness. These completely determine the structure of $*$ -clean rings involving their Jacobson radicals.

We use $N(R)$ to denote the set of all nilpotent elements in R and $J(R)$ the Jacobson radical of R . \mathbb{N} stands for the set of all natural numbers.

2. Medium $*$ -Clean Rings

The main purpose of this section is to explore some elementary properties of medium $*$ -clean rings. Our starting point is the following.

Lemma 2.1. *Every medium $*$ -clean rings is abelian.*

Proof. Let R be a medium $*$ -clean ring, and let $e \in R$ be an idempotent. Then, we can find a projection f and a $w \in J(R)$ such that $e = f + w$ or $e = -f + w$ with $fw = wf$. If $e = f + w$, then $e - f \in J(R)$. As $(e - f)^3 = e - f$, we see that $(e - f)(1 - (e - f)^2) = 0$, and so $e = f$. If $e = -f + w$, then $e + f \in J(R)$. As $(e - f)(e + f) = e - f$, we see that $(e - f)(1 - (e + f)) = 0$. This implies that $e = f$. Therefore, $e \in R$ is a projection. Therefore, R is abelian, in terms of [9, Lemma 2.1]. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let R be a $*$ -ring. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R is medium $*$ -clean.
- (2) R is abelian weakly J - $*$ -clean.
- (3) R is strongly $*$ -clean and weakly J -clean.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (3) Clearly, R is weakly J -clean. Let $a \in R$. Then, there exists a projection $e \in R$ such that $a = e + w$ or $-e + w$, $w \in J(R)$ and $ew = we$. If $a = -e + w$, then $a = (1 - e) + (w - 1)$, $w - 1 \in U(R)$, $(1 - e)^2 = 1 - e = (1 - e)^*$, $(1 - e)(w - 1) = (w - 1)(1 - e)$. So $a \in R$ is strongly $*$ -clean. If $a = e + w$, then $a = (1 - e) + (2e - 1)[1 + (2e - 1)w]$. Since $w \in J(R)$, we see that $1 + (2e - 1)w \in U(R)$ and $(1 - e)^2 = 1 - e = (1 - e)^*$. So $a \in R$ is strongly $*$ -clean, as desired.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) In light of [9, Theorem 2.2], R is abelian and every idempotent of R is a projection. Thus, R is weakly J - $*$ -clean.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) This is obvious. □

Example. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Define $\sigma : R \rightarrow R$ by $\sigma(x, y) = (y, x)$. Consider

the ring $T_2(R, \sigma) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in R \right\}$ with the following operations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a + c & b + d \\ 0 & a + c \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ac & ad + b\sigma(c) \\ 0 & ac \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define $*$: $T_2(R, \sigma) \rightarrow T_2(R, \sigma)$ by $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} a & \sigma(b) \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$. Then, $T_2(R, \sigma)$ is weakly J - $*$ -clean, but it is not medium $*$ -clean.

Proof. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \in T_2(R, \sigma)$. Then, $E = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ is a projection. Further, $A - E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in J(T_2(R, \sigma))$. Therefore, $T_2(R, \sigma)$ is weakly J- $*$ -clean.

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} (0, 1) & (0, 0) \\ (0, 0) & (0, 1) \end{pmatrix}$. We check that $A^2 = A \in T_2(R, \sigma)$ is not central, and so $T_2(R, \sigma)$ is not abelian. Therefore, by Theorem 2.2 the ring $T_2(R, \sigma)$ is not medium $*$ -clean. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let $L = \prod_{i \in I} R_i$ be the direct product of $*$ -rings R_i and $|I| \geq 2$. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) L is medium $*$ -clean;
- (2) Each R_i is medium $*$ -clean and at most one is not strongly J- $*$ -clean.

Proof. \implies Obviously, each R_i is medium $*$ -clean. Suppose R_{i_1} and R_{i_2} ($i_1 \neq i_2$) are not strongly J- $*$ -clean. Then, there exist some $x_{i_j} \in R_{i_j}$ ($j = 1, 2$) such that $x_{i_1} \in R_{i_1}$ and $-x_{i_2} \in R_{i_2}$ are not strongly J- $*$ -clean. Choose $x = (x_i)$ where $x_i = 0$ whenever $i \neq i_j$ ($j = 1, 2$). Then, x and $-x$ are both not strongly J- $*$ -clean. This gives a contradiction. Therefore, each R_i is a medium $*$ -clean and at most one is not strongly J- $*$ -clean.

\impliedby Suppose that R_{i_0} is medium $*$ -clean and all the others R_i are strongly J- $*$ -clean. Then, $\prod_{i \neq i_0} R_i$ is strongly J- $*$ -clean (see [4]). We directly check that R is medium $*$ -clean. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let $L = \prod_{i \in I} R_i$ be the direct product of $*$ -rings $R_i \cong R$ and $|I| \geq 2$. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) L is medium $*$ -clean;
- (2) L is strongly J- $*$ -clean.
- (3) R is strongly J- $*$ -clean.

Proof. (1) \implies (3) Since L is medium $*$ -clean, it follows by Theorem 2.4 that R is strongly J- $*$ -clean.

(3) \implies (2) Straightforward.

(2) \implies (1) This is trivial. \square

We come now to record the strongly weak J- $*$ -cleanness for some related rings.

Proposition 2.5. *Let R be medium $*$ -clean, and let $e \in R$ be an idempotent. Then, eRe is medium $*$ -clean.*

Proof. Let R be medium $*$ -clean ring, and let $e \in R$ be an idempotent. In view of Theorem 2.2, R is strongly $*$ -clean. Thus, R is abelian and every idempotent of R is a projection from [9, Theorem 2.2]. Let $eae \in eRe$. Then, there exists a projection $f \in R$ such that $a = f + w$ or $-f + w$ where $w \in J(R)$ and $fw = wf$. Hence, $eae = efe + ewe$ or $-efe + ewe$ and $ewe \in eJ(R)e = J(eRe)$. Hence, $(efe)^2 = efe = (efe)^*$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let R be a $*$ -ring. Then, R is medium $*$ -clean if and only if so is $R[[x]]$.*

Proof. \implies In light of Theorem 2.2, R is strongly $*$ -clean. It follows by [9, Corollary 2.10] that $R[[x]]$ is strongly $*$ -clean. Let $f(x) \in R[[x]]$. Then, there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $f(0) - e$ or $f(0) + e$ in $J(R)$. Hence, $f(x) - e$ or $f(x) + e$ in $J(R[[x]])$. This implies that $R[[x]]$ is weakly J-clean. Therefore, $R[[x]]$ is medium $*$ -clean, by Theorem 2.2.

\impliedby Let $a \in R$. There exists an idempotent $f(x) \in R[[x]]$ such that $a - f(x)$ or $a + f(x)$ in $J(R[[x]])$ and $af(x) = f(x)a$. Set $e = f(0)$. Then, $a - f(0) \in J(R)$, $af(0) = f(0)a$ and $f(0) \in R$ is an idempotent and, hence, the result. \square

Let R be a $*$ -ring, and let $T(R, R)$ be the trivial extension of R by R , i.e., $T(R, R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in R \right\}$. Define $*$: $T(R, R) \rightarrow T(R, R)$ given by $(x, y) \rightarrow (x^*, y^*)$. Then, $T(R, R)$ is a $*$ -ring.

Proposition 2.7. *Let R be a $*$ -ring. Then, $T(R, R)$ is medium $*$ -clean if and only if so is R .*

Proof. \implies Straightforward.

\impliedby In view of Theorem 2.2, R is strongly $*$ -clean and weakly J-clean. Hence, $T(R, R)$ is strongly $*$ -clean, by [9, Example 2.4]. As $J(T(R, R)) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in J(R), b \in R \right\}$, one easily checks that $T(R, R)$ is weakly J-clean. This completes the proof by Theorem 2.2. \square

Let R be a $*$ -ring. Define $*$: $T(R, R) \rightarrow T(R, R)$ given by $(x, y) \rightarrow (x^*, -y^*)$. Analogously, we prove that $T(R, R)$ is medium $*$ -clean if and only if so is R .

3. Homomorphic Images

We say that an ideal I of a $*$ -ring R is a $*$ -ideal in case $I^* \subseteq I$. If I is a $*$ -ideal of a $*$ -ring, it is easy to check that R/I is also a $*$ -ring.

Lemma 3.1. *Let R be a $*$ -ring, let $I \subseteq J(R)$, and let $e \in R$ be an idempotent. If $e - e^* \in I$, then there exists a projection $f \in R$ such that $eR = fR$ and $e - f \in I$.*

Proof. Let $z = 1 + (e^* - e)^*(e^* - e)$. Then $z \in U(R)$ and $z^* = z$. Let $t = z^{-1}$. Then $t^* = t$. We check that $ez = e(1 - e - e^* + ee^* + e^*e) = ee^*e = (1 - e - e^* + ee^* + e^*e)e = ze$; whence, $et = te$ and $e^*t = te^*$. Let $f = ee^*t$. Then $f^* = f$, and $f^2 = ee^*tee^*t = tee^*ee^*t = (ee^*e)te^*t = (ez)te^*t = ee^*t = f$. Hence, $f \in R$ is a projection. Obviously, $fR \subseteq eR$, and from $fe = ee^*te = ee^*et = ezt = e$ one has $eR \subseteq fR$. Therefore, $eR = fR$. Further, $e - f = e(ez - ee^*)t = e(ee^*e - ee^*)t = ee^*(e - e^*)t \in I$, as asserted. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let I be a *-ideal of a *-ring R . If $I \subseteq J(R)$, then R is medium *-clean if and only if*

- (1) R is strongly *-clean;
- (2) R/I is medium *-clean.

Proof. One direction is obvious from Theorem 2.2. It will suffice to prove the converse. For any idempotent $e \in R$, $\bar{e} \in R/I$ is an idempotent. By (1), R/I is strongly *-clean. In light of [9, Theorem 2.2], $\bar{e} \in R/I$ is a projection. Thus, $e - e^* \in I \subseteq J(R)$. In view of Lemma 4, there exists a projection $f \in R$ such that $eR = fR$. We infer that $e = fe$ and $f = ef$. By (2), we get $e = f$. Therefore, every idempotent of R is a projection, and R is abelian. There we easily complete the proof. □

As a consequence, we can derive

Corollary 3.3. *A *-ring R is medium *-clean if and only if*

- (1) R is strongly *-clean;
- (2) $R/J(R)$ is medium *-clean.

Proof. This is obvious, by Theorem 3.2. □

Corollary 3.4. *A *-ring R is medium *-clean if and only if*

- (1) R is strongly *-clean;
- (2) $R/6R$ is medium *-clean and $6 \in J(R)$.

Proof. One direction is obvious by Theorem 3.2. Conversely, assume that R is medium *-clean. Then, there exists a projection $e \in R$ such that $2 = e + w$ or $2 = -e + w$ for a $w \in J(R)$. If $2 = e + w$, then $1 - e = w - 1 \in U(R)$; hence, $e = 0$. We infer that $2 = w \in J(R)$. If $2 = -e + w$, then $4 = e + w'$ for some $w' \in J(R)$. This implies that $6 = w + w' \in J(R)$. In any case, $6 \in J(R)$. By virtue of Theorem 3.2, we complete the proof. □

Recall that a ring R is weakly Boolean if for any $a \in R$, either a or $-a$ is an idempotent.

Lemma 3.5. *Let R be a *-ring. Then, R is medium *-clean if and only if*

- (1) R is strongly *-clean;
- (2) $R/J(R)$ is weakly Boolean.

Proof. \implies This is clear.

\impliedby Since R is strongly *-clean, it follows by [9, Theorem 2.2] that R is an abelian ring in which every idempotent in R is a projection. In light of [9, Corollary 2.11], every idempotent lifts modulo $J(R)$. So the lemma is true. □

A ring R is a Yaqub ring if it is the subdirect product of \mathbb{Z}_3 's. We record

Lemma 3.6 (see [5, Lemma 4.1]). *Let R be a ring in which $x = x^3$ for all $x \in R$. Then, R is a Boolean ring, a Yaqub ring or the product of such rings.*

Theorem 3.7. *Let R be a *-ring. Then, R is medium *-clean if and only if*

- (1) R is strongly $*$ -clean;
- (2) $R/J(R)$ is a Boolean ring, \mathbb{Z}_3 or the product of such rings.

Proof. \implies Clearly, R is strongly $*$ -clean. In view of Lemma 3.6, $R/J(R)$ is a Boolean ring R_1 , a Yaqub ring R_2 or the product of such rings. As $3 \in J(R)$, we see that $3 = 0$ in R_1 , a contradiction. This implies that $R/J(R) \cong R_2$ is a Yaqub ring. In light of Lemma 3.5, $R/J(R)$ weakly Boolean. This forces $R_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, as desired.

\impliedby By hypothesis, $R/J(R)$ is weakly Boolean. Therefore, the result follows by Lemma 3.5. □

Corollary 3.8. *Let R be a $*$ -ring. Then, R is strongly J - $*$ -clean if and only if*

- (1) $2 \in J(R)$;
- (2) R is medium $*$ -clean.

Proof. \implies This is obvious.

\impliedby In light of Theorem 3.7, $R/J(R)$ is Boolean and R is strongly $*$ -clean. Therefore, the result follows, by [4, Theorem 2.6]. □

Corollary 3.9. *Let R be a $*$ -ring. Then, $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ if and only if*

- (1) $3 \in J(R)$;
- (2) R is medium $*$ -clean.

Proof. \implies Clearly, $3 \in J(R)$. Let $a \in R$. Then, $\bar{a} = \bar{0}, \bar{1}$ or $-\bar{1}$ in $R/J(R)$. Hence, $a - 0, a - 1$ or $a + 1$ in $J(R)$. Therefore, R is medium $*$ -clean.

\impliedby In view of Theorem 3.7, $R/J(R)$ is a Boolean ring R_1, \mathbb{Z}_3 or the product of such rings. As $3 \in J(R)$, we see that $3 = 0$ in R_1 , a contradiction. This implies that $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, as desired. □

Example. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(3)}$ be the localization of the ring \mathbb{Z} of integers at (3) , and $*$ = 1_R , the identical automorphism of R . Then, R is medium $*$ -clean, but it is not a strongly J - $*$ -clean.

Proof. It is obvious that R is a local ring with $J(R) = 3R$. Then, $\frac{2}{1} - (\frac{2}{1})^2$ is not in $J(R)$. Hence, $R/J(R)$ is not a Boolean ring. By [4, Theorem 2.6], R is not strongly J - $*$ -clean. Since $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, it follows by Theorem 3.7 that R is medium $*$ -clean. □

Corollary 3.10. *Let R be a local $*$ -ring. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R is medium $*$ -clean.
- (2) $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ or \mathbb{Z}_3 .

Proof. (1) \implies (2) By virtue of Theorem 3.7, $R/J(R)$ is a Boolean ring, \mathbb{Z}_3 or the product of such rings. But every idempotent in R is trivial; hence, $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ or \mathbb{Z}_3 .

(2) \implies (1) Since R is a local $*$ -ring, it is strongly $*$ -clean. Thus, we complete the proof, by Theorem 3.7. □

The Brown–McCoy radical of R can be defined as the intersection of the maximal two-sided ideals and denote it by $BM(R)$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let R be a $*$ -ring. Then, R is medium $*$ -clean if and only if*

- (1) R is strongly $*$ -clean;
- (2) For all maximal ideals M of R , $R/M \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ or at most one \mathbb{Z}_3 .

Proof. \implies By virtue of Lemma 3.5, R is strongly $*$ -clean and $R/J(R)$ is weakly Boolean. Let M be a maximal ideal of R . If $J(R) \not\subseteq M$, then $J(R) + M = R$; hence, $x + y = 1$ for some $x \in J(R), y \in M$. This shows that $y = 1 - x \in U(R)$, a contradiction. Thus, $J(R) \subseteq M$. Clearly, $R/M \cong \frac{R/J(R)}{M/J(R)}$; hence, R/M is weakly Boolean. Since R/M is simple and every idempotent in R/M is central, we see that $R/M \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ or \mathbb{Z}_3 .

Assume that M_1, M_2 are distinct maximal ideals of R such that $R/M_1, R/M_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$. Since $R/(M_1 \cap M_2) \cong \frac{R/J(R)}{(M_1 \cap M_2)/J(R)}$, we see that $R/(M_1 \cap M_2)$ is weakly Boolean. As $M_1 + M_2 = R$, By Chinese Remainder Theorem, we have $R/(M_1 \cap M_2) \cong R/M_1 \times R/M_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$, which is not weakly Boolean. Hence, there is at most one maximal ideal M such that $R/M \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, as desired.

\impliedby It is easy to check that $R/BM(R)$ is isomorphic to a subring of $\prod_{M \in Max(R)} R/M$ which is weakly Boolean. Hence, $R/BM(R)$ is weakly Boolean.

For all maximal ideals M of R , as in the preceding discussion, $J(R) \subseteq M$, and so $J(R) \subseteq BM(R)$. Since R is strongly $*$ -clean, R is an abelian clean ring. In view of [13, Proposition 4.1], R is a right quasi-duo ring, i.e., every maximal right ideal is an ideal. Hence, $BM(R) \subseteq J(R)$, and so $BM(R) = J(R)$. Thus, $R/J(R)$ is weakly Boolean. This completes the proof, by Lemma 3.5. □

4. Uniqueness for Projections

The aim of this section is to determine medium $*$ -clean rings by means of the unique property of projections. The following observation is crucial.

Theorem 4.1. *Let R be a $*$ -ring. Then, R is medium $*$ -clean if and only if*

- (1) R weakly J - $*$ -clean;
- (2) For any projections $e, f \in R$, $e - f \in J(R)$ implies $e = f$.

Proof. \implies Clearly, R weakly J - $*$ -clean. Let $e, f \in R$ be projections. In light of Theorem 2.2, R is abelian. Thus, $ef = fe$; hence, $(e - f)^3 = e - f$, and so $(e - f)(1 - (e - f)^2) = 0$. Hence, $e = f$, as desired.

\impliedby Let $e \in R$ be an idempotent. Then, there exists a projection $g \in R$ such that $e - g \in J(R)$ or $e + g \in J(R)$. If $e - g \in J(R)$, then $e^* - g \in J(R)$, and so $e - e^* \in (e - g) - (e^* - g) \in J(R)$. If $e + g \in J(R)$, similarly, we have $e - e^* \in J(R)$.

Set $z = 1 + (e - e^*)(e - e^*)$. Write $t = z^{-1}$. Since $z^* = z, t^* = t$. Also $e^*z = e^*ee^* = ze^*$, and so $e^*t = te^*$, and $et = te$. Set $f = e^*et = te^*e$. Then, $f^* = f, f^2 = e^*ete^*et = e^*ee^*(tet) = e^*ztet = e^*et = f, fe = f$ and $ef = ee^*et = ezt = e$. Now $e = f + (e - f)$ and $e - f = e - e^*et = ee^*et - e^*et = (e - e^*)e^*et \in J(R)$. Here, $f = f^* = f^2$. In addition, $f = e^*e(1 + (e^* - e)(e - e^*))^{-1}$.

Set $z' = 1 + (e^* - e)(e^* - e)$. Write $t' = (z')^{-1}$. Since $(z')^* = z'$, $(t')^* = t'$. Also $ez' = ee^*e = z'e$. Set $f' = ee^*t' = t'ee^*$. As in the preceding proof, we see that $f' = (f')^2 = (f')^*$ and $ef' = f'$, $f'e = e$. In addition,

$$e - f' = f'e - f' = t'ee^*(e - e^*) \in J(R),$$

where $f' = (1 + (e - e^*)(e^* - e))^{-1}ee^*$.

Thus, $e - f, e - f' \in J(R)$, f and f' are projections. Hence, $f - f' = (e - f') - (e - f) \in J(R)$. By hypothesis, $f = f'$, and so

$$e^*e(1 + (e^* - e)(e - e^*))^{-1} = (1 + (e - e^*)(e^* - e))^{-1}ee^*.$$

It follows that

$$(1 + (e - e^*)(e^* - e))e^*e = ee^*(1 + (e^* - e)(e - e^*)).$$

Obviously, $(e - e^*)(e^* - e)e^*e = -e^*e + e^*ee^*e$ and $ee^*(e^* - e)(e - e^*) = -ee^* + ee^*ee^*$. Consequently, $e^*ee^*e = ee^*ee^*$. One easily checks that

$$(e - e^*)^3 - (e - e^*) = -ee^*e + e^*ee^*;$$

$$((e - e^*)^3 - (e - e^*))(e + e^*) = (e - e^*)^3 - (e - e^*).$$

Thus $(e - e^*)((e - e^*)^2 - 1)((e + e^*) - 1) = 0$.

As $e - f \in J(R)$, we see that $e^* - f \in J(R)$. Thus, $(e + e^*) - 2f \in J(R)$. This implies that $(e + e^*) - 1 = (2f - 1) + ((e + e^*) - 2f) \in U(R)$, as $(2f - 1)^2 = 1$. Since $(e - e^*)^2 - 1, (e + e^*) - 1 \in U(R)$, we get $e = e^*$. Thus, every idempotent in R is a projection. In light of [9, Lemma 2.1], R is abelian. Therefore, R is medium $*$ -clean. \square

Projections e, f in R are said to be equivalent, write $e \sim f$, in case there exists $w \in R$ such that $w^*w = e$ and $ww^* = f$ (see [2]).

Corollary 4.2. *Let R be a $*$ -ring. Then, R is medium $*$ -clean if and only if*

- (1) R weakly J - $*$ -clean;
- (2) For any projections $e, f \in R$, $e \sim f$ implies $e = f$.

Proof. \implies (1) is clear. In light of Lemma 3.5, $R/J(R)$ is weakly Boolean; hence, it is strongly π -regular. By virtue of [3, Theorem 13.1.7], R has stable range 1. If $e \sim f$ with projections $e, f \in R$, then $eR \cong fR$. It follows by [3, Lemma 1.4.6] that $u^{-1}eu = f$. In light of Theorem 2.2, R is abelian; hence, $e = f$. This proves (2).

\impliedby Let $e, f \in R$ be projections such that $e - f \in J(R)$. Set $u = 1 - e - f$. Then, $eu = -ef = uf$. Clearly, $u = u^* = u^{-1} \in U(R)$. Set $w = fu^{-1}e$. Then, $f = u^{-1}eu = ww^*$ and $e = ufu^{-1} = w^*w$. Hence, $e \sim f$. By hypothesis, $e = f$. According to Theorem 4.1, R is medium $*$ -clean. \square

Let R be a $*$ -ring. An element $a \in R$ is called a partial isometry provided that $a = aa^*a$. An element $u \in R$ is called a unitary element provided that $uu^* = u^*u = 1$ (see [2]).

Corollary 4.3. *Let R be a $*$ -ring. Then, R is medium $*$ -clean if and only if*

- (1) R weakly J - $*$ -clean;
- (2) For any partial isometry $a \in R$, there exist a projection e and a unitary u such that $a = eu = ue$.

Proof. \implies Clearly, R weakly J - $*$ -clean. Let $w \in R$ be a partial isometry. Then, $w = ww^*w$. Hence, $w^* = w^*ww^*$, ww^* and w^*w are projections with $ww^*R \cong w^*wR$. In light of Corollary 4.2, $ww^* = w^*w$. Let $u = 1 - w^*w + w$. Then, $u^* = 1 - w^*w + w^*$ and $uu^* = u^*u = 1$, i.e., $u \in R$ is a unitary element. Let $e = ww^*$. Then, $e \in R$ is a projection. Furthermore, $w = ww^*(1 - ww^* + w) = eu$. In virtue of Theorem 2.2, R is abelian. Hence, $w = ue$, as desired.

\impliedby Suppose $e \sim f$ for projections $e, f \in R$. Write $e = w^*w$ and $f = ww^*$. We may assume that $w \in fRe$ and $w^* \in eRf$. Hence, $ww^*w = we = w$, i.e., $w \in R$ is a partial isometry. By hypothesis, there exist a projection g and a unitary u such that $w = gu = ug$. Accordingly, $e = w^*w = (u^*g)(gu) = u^*gu = (u^*u)g = g$ and $f = ww^* = (gu)(u^*g) = g(uu^*)g = g$, and then $e = f$. In light of Corollary 4.2, the result follows. \square

Recall that an element a in a $*$ -ring R is uniquely $*$ -clean provided that there exists a unique projection e such that $a - e$ is invertible. We have

Theorem 4.4. *Let R be a $*$ -ring. Then, R is medium $*$ -clean if and only if*

- (1) R weakly J - $*$ -clean;
- (2) $a^2 \in R$ is uniquely $*$ -clean for all $a \in R$.

Proof. \implies Let $a \in R$. Then, there exist a projection $e \in R$ and a $w \in J(R)$ such that $a = e + w$ or $a = -e + w$ with $ae = ea$. Hence, $a^2 = e + w'$ where $w' \in J(R)$. This implies that $a^2 = (1 - e) + ((2e - 1) + w')$. Clearly, $(2e - 1) + w' = (2e - 1)(1 + (2e - 1)w') \in U(R)$. Thus, $a^2 \in R$ is $*$ -clean. Assume that $a^2 = f + v$ where $f \in R$ is a projection and $v \in U(R)$. Then, $e - f \in U(R)$. As R is abelian, it follows from $(e - f)^3 = e - f$ that $(e - f)(1 - (e - f)^2) = 0$; hence, $1 - e + 2ef - f = 0$. Thus, $f = (1 - 2e)^{-1}(1 - e) = 1 - e$. Therefore, $a^2 \in R$ is uniquely clean.

\impliedby Clearly, R is weakly J - $*$ -clean. Let $e, f \in R$ be projections with $e - f \in J(R)$. By hypothesis, e^2 is uniquely $*$ -clean. Obviously, $e^2 = (1 - e) + (2e - 1) = (1 - f) + ((2f - 1) + (e - f))$. We that $1 - e, 1 - f$ are both projections, $(2e - 1)^2 = 1$ and $(2f - 1) + (e - f) = (2f - 1)(1 + (2f - 1)(e - f)) \in U(R)$. Thus, $1 - e = 1 - f$; hence, $e = f$. According to Theorem 4.1, R is medium $*$ -clean. \square

Example. Let \mathbb{Z}_3 be the $*$ -ring with $*$ the identical automorphism. Then, \mathbb{Z}_3 is medium $*$ -clean, but $-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ is not unique $*$ -clean, as $-1 = 0 + (-1) = 1 + 1$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let R be a $*$ -ring. Then, R is medium $*$ -clean if and only if*

- (1) Every idempotent lifts modulo $J(R)$;
- (2) For any projections $e, f \in R$, $e - f \in J(R)$ implies $e = f$;
- (3) $R/J(R)$ weakly $*$ -Boolean.

Proof. \implies This is obvious.

\Leftarrow Let $a \in R$. By (3), we can find some $e \in R$ such that $e - e^2, e - e^* \in J(R)$ such that $a - e \in J(R)$ or $a + e \in J(R)$. By (1), there exists an idempotent $f \in R$ such that $e - f \in J(R)$. Hence, $a - f \in J(R)$ or $a + f \in J(R)$. Additionally, $f - f^* \in J(R)$. In light of Lemma , we have a projection $g \in R$ such that $f - g \in J(R)$. Therefore, $a - g \in J(R)$ or $a + g \in J(R)$. Hence, R is weakly J -*-clean. Therefore, R is medium *-clean, by Theorem 4.1. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let R be a *-ring. Then, R is medium *-clean if and only if*

- (1) *Every idempotent lifts modulo $J(R)$;*
- (2) *R is abelian;*
- (3) *$R/J(R)$ weakly *-Boolean.*

Proof. \implies This is obvious, by Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 4.5.

\Leftarrow Suppose $e - f \in J(R)$ with projections $e, f \in R$. Since R is abelian, $(e - f)^3 = e - f$; hence, $(e - f)(1 - (e - f)) = 0$. We infer that $e = f$. Therefore, we complete the proof, by Lemma 4.5. \square

5. Medium Nil-*-clean Rings

In this section, we are concern on a subclass of medium *-clean rings. A *-ring R is medium nil-*-clean if for any $a \in R$ there exists a projection $e \in R$ such that $a - e$ or $a + e$ is nilpotent and $ea = ae$. We derive

Theorem 5.1. *Let R be a *-ring. Then, R is medium nil-*-clean if and only if*

- (1) *R is medium *-clean.*
- (2) *$J(R)$ is nil.*

Proof. \implies Clearly, R is strongly 2-nil-clean. In light of [5, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3..6], $N(R)$ forms an ideal of R and $J(R)$ is nil. Hence, $N(R) \subseteq J(R)$. Therefore, R is medium *-clean.

\Leftarrow This is obvious. \square

A *-ring R is called strongly nil *-clean if every element of R is the sum of a projection and a nilpotent element that commute with each other.

Corollary 5.2. *Let R be a *-ring. Then, R is medium nil-*-clean if and only if R is strongly nil-*-clean, or $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$, with $J(R)$ is nil, or R is the direct product of two such rings.*

Proof. \Leftarrow By virtue of Theorems 5.1 and 3.7, $R/J(R)$ is a Boolean ring, \mathbb{Z}_3 or the product of such rings. Suppose that $R/J(R)$ is Boolean. Since $J(R)$ is nil and every idempotent in R is a projection, we easily check that R is strongly nil-*-clean, as desired. \implies This is obvious by Theorems 3.7 and 5.1. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Let R be medium nil-*-clean, and let $e \in R$ be an idempotent. Then, eRe is medium nil-*-clean.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.2, R is abelian. As $J(eRe) = eJ(R)e$, we complete the proof, by Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 2.6. \square

Theorem 5.4. *Let R be a $*$ -ring. Then, R is medium nil- $*$ -clean if and only if*

- (1) R is strongly $*$ -clean;
- (2) R is strongly weakly nil-clean.

Proof. \implies This is obvious.

\impliedby In light of [9, Theorem 2.2], every idempotent in R is a projection, the result follows. \square

Corollary 5.5. *Let R be a $*$ -ring. Then, R is medium nil- $*$ -clean if and only if*

- (1) R is strongly $*$ -clean;
- (2) R is R_1, R_2 or $R_1 \times R_2$, where R_1 is strongly nil-clean and $R_2/J(R_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $J(R_2)$ is nil.

Proof. \implies In view of Theorem 2.2, R is strongly $*$ -clean, and hence proving (1). Clearly, R is strongly weakly nil-clean. Therefore we prove (2) by [8, Theorem 1].

\impliedby In light of [8, Theorem 1], R is strongly weakly nil-clean. Thus, we complete the proof, by Theorem 5.4. \square

Example. Let $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_3 \right\}$. Define

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & a \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & a' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a + a' & b + b' \\ c + c' & a + a' \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & a' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} aa' & ab' + ba' \\ ca' + ac' & aa' \end{pmatrix}$$

and $*$: $R \rightarrow R, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & a \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$. Then, R is medium $*$ -clean, but it is not strongly nil- $*$ -clean.

Proof. In view of [4, Example 2.2], R is strongly J- $*$ -clean, and so it is medium $*$ -clean. The projections in R are the zero and the identity matrix. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. It is obvious that A can not be written as the sum of a projection and a nilpotent. Thus, R is not strongly weakly nil- $*$ -clean. \square

Example. Let $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \right\}$, and let

$$* : R \rightarrow R, \begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} c & -2b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, R is strongly weakly nil clean, but it is not medium nil- $*$ -clean.

Proof. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ be a projection in R . Then, $\begin{pmatrix} a & 2b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 2ab + 2bc \\ 0 & c^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c & -2b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$. This implies that $a = a^2, c = c^2, a = c$ and $2ab + 2bc = -2b$, and so $(2a - 1)(2b) = 0$. As $(2a - 1)^2 = 1$, we see that $2b = 0$, and so $A = 0$ or I_2 . Now let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in R$. It is obvious that A can not be written as the sum or difference of a projection and a nilpotent. Hence, R is not medium nil- $*$ -clean. However for any $A \in R, A - A^2 \in N(R)$, then R is weakly strongly nil-clean ring, as desired. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her careful reading and valuable remarks that improved the presentation of our work. H. Chen was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province, China (no. LY17A010018).

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- [1] Breaz, S., Danchev, P., Zhou, Y.: Rings in which every element in either a sum or a difference of a nilpotent and an idempotent. *J. Algebra Appl.* **15**, 1650148 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498816501486>
- [2] Berberian, S.K.: *Baer $*$ -Rings*. Springer, Heidelberg (2011)
- [3] Chen, H.: *Rings Related Stable Range Conditions*, Series in Algebra 11. World Scientific, Hackensack (2011)
- [4] Chen, H., Harmanci, A., Özcan, A.C.: Strongly J-clean rings with involutions. *Contemp Math* **609**, 33–44 (2014)
- [5] Chen, H., Sheibani, M.: Strongly 2-nil-clean rings. *J. Algebra Appl.* **16**, 1750178 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S021949881750178X>
- [6] Cui, J., Wang, Z.: A note on strongly $*$ -clean rings. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **52**, 839–851 (2015)
- [7] Hirano, Y., Tominaga, H.: Rings in which every element is a sum of two idempotents. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **37**, 161–164 (1988)
- [8] Kosan, M.T., Zhou, Y.: On weakly nil-clean rings. *Front. Math. China* (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11464-016-0555-6>
- [9] Li, C., Zhou, Y.: On strongly $*$ -clean rings. *J. Algebra Appl.* **10**, 1363–1370 (2011)
- [10] Li, Y., Parmenter, M.M., Yuan, P.: On $*$ -clean group rings. *J. Algebra Appl.* **14**, 1550004 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498815500048>
- [11] Stancu, A.: A note on commutative weakly nil clean rings. *J. Algebra Appl.* **15**, 1620001 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498816200012>
- [12] Vas, L.: $*$ -Clean rings; some clean and almost clean Baer $*$ -rings and von Neumann algebras. *J. Algebra* **324**, 3388–3400 (2010)
- [13] Yu, H.P.: On quasi-duo rings. *Glasg. Math. J.* **37**, 21–31 (1995)

Huanyin Chen
Department of Mathematics
Hangzhou Normal University
Hangzhou
China
e-mail: huanyinchen@aliyun.com

Marjan Sheibani Abdolyousefi
Women's University of Semnan (Farzanegan)
Semnan
Iran
e-mail: sheibani@fgusem.ac.ir

Handan Kose
Department of Mathematics
Ahi Evran University
Kirsehir
Turkey
e-mail: handankose@gmail.com

Received: October 20, 2017.

Revised: June 15, 2018.

Accepted: December 26, 2018.