

**SOME OPERATORS ARISING FROM SCHWARZ BVP IN  
 COMPLEMENTARY LOCAL MORREY-TYPE SPACES ON THE  
 UNIT DISC**

V.S. GULIYEV, K. KOCA, R.CH. MUSTAFAYEV, T. ÜNVER

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the boundedness of a class of operators arising from Schwarz BVP in complementary local Morrey-type spaces in the unit disc of the complex plane.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the complex plane and  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  be the unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ . The Schwarz boundary value problem (Schwarz BVP)

$$g_z = f \text{ in } \mathbb{D}, \operatorname{Re} g = \gamma \text{ on } \partial\mathbb{D}, \operatorname{Im} g(0) = c, \quad (1.1)$$

is one of the major boundary value problems in complex analysis. It is uniquely solvable for analytic functions [24], and for polyanalytic functions [7]. The solvability of the Schwarz problem for some higher-order linear elliptic complex partial differential equations were investigated in [3] and [2].

Cauchy-Riemann-Poisson-Pompeiu formula given by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \gamma(\zeta) \frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta} + ic - \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta} \frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z} + \frac{\overline{f(\zeta)}}{\bar{\zeta}} \frac{1 + z\bar{\zeta}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right) d\xi d\eta, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad \zeta = \xi + i\eta \quad (1.2)$$

is the unique solution to the Schwarz BVP, where  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $\gamma \in C(\partial\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  (see [7]).

The domain integral appearing on the right-hand side of (1.2), denoted by  $\tilde{T}_1$ , is a modification of the Pompeiu operator

$$T_1 f(z) := -\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} f(\zeta) \frac{d\xi d\eta}{\zeta - z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

which was studied by Vekua in [26]. The operator  $\tilde{T}_1$  is important for treating complex first-order equations (see, for instance, [26, 11, 4, 5]). Iterating this operator

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47G10; 47B38; 30J99; 30E25.

*Key words and phrases.* Schwarz BVP; Sublinear operators; complementary local Morrey-type spaces; Hardy inequalities.

©2017 Ilirias Research Institute, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted June 20, 2016. Published November 20, 2016.

Communicated by Lars-Erik Persson.

with itself by the rule  $\tilde{T}_k f(z) = \tilde{T}_1(\tilde{T}_{k-1} f(z))$  generates the operators

$$\tilde{T}_k f(z) := \frac{(-1)^k}{2\pi(k-1)!} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} (\zeta - z + \bar{\zeta} - \bar{z})^{k-1} \left( \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta} \frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z} + \frac{\overline{f(\zeta)}}{\bar{\zeta}} \frac{1 + z\bar{\zeta}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right) d\xi d\eta$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\tilde{T}_0 f(z) = f(z)$ .

The two partial derivative operators  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$  are defined by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} := \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} := \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^l}{\partial z^l} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial^{l-1}}{\partial z^{l-1}} \right), \quad \frac{\partial^l}{\partial \bar{z}^l} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left( \frac{\partial^{l-1}}{\partial \bar{z}^{l-1}} \right)$$

where  $z = x + iy$ .

The operators  $\tilde{T}_k f$  satisfy

$$\frac{\partial^l}{\partial \bar{z}^l} \tilde{T}_k f = \tilde{T}_{k-l} f, \quad 1 \leq l \leq k, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial^l}{\partial \bar{z}^l} \tilde{T}_k f = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathbb{D}, \quad 0 \leq l \leq k-1, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial^l}{\partial \bar{z}^l} \tilde{T}_k f(0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq l \leq k-1, \quad (1.5)$$

see [3]. Note that  $\partial_z^l \tilde{T}_k$  is a weakly singular integral operator for  $0 \leq l \leq k-1$ , while

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_k f(z) := \frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} \tilde{T}_k f(z) &= \frac{(-1)^k k}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \left[ \left( \frac{\bar{\zeta} - z}{\zeta - z} \right)^{k-1} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{\zeta - z + \bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \bar{\zeta} - 1 \right)^{k-1} \frac{\overline{f(\zeta)}}{(1 - z\bar{\zeta})^2} \right] d\xi d\eta \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

is a strongly singular integral operator. It is known that  $\|\Pi_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{D})} = 1$  (see [26, 11]).  $\Pi_k$  are shown to be bounded in the space  $L^p$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  and in particular their  $L^2$  norms are estimated in [1]. These operators are investigated by decomposing them into two parts as  $\Pi_k = T_{-k,k} + P_k$ , where

$$T_{-k,k} f(z) = \frac{(-1)^k k}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{\bar{\zeta} - z}{\zeta - z} \right)^{k-1} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\xi d\eta, \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$P_k f(z) = \frac{(-1)^k k}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \left( \frac{\zeta - z + \bar{\zeta} - \bar{z}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \bar{\zeta} - 1 \right)^{k-1} \frac{\overline{f(\zeta)}}{(1 - z\bar{\zeta})^2} d\xi d\eta, \quad (1.8)$$

which are investigated extensively in [6] and [1], respectively, and the boundedness of  $T_{-k,k}$  and  $P_k$  in  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$  are proved.

It is mentioned in [6] that the integral in (1.7) must be viewed as a Cauchy principal value integral,

$$T_{-k,k} f(z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint_{\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon} K_{-k,k}(z - \zeta) w(\zeta) d\xi d\eta, \quad (1.9)$$

where  $\mathbb{D}_\varepsilon$  is the domain  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{\zeta : |\zeta - z| \leq \varepsilon\}$ , and the limit is taken in the norm of  $L^p(\mathbb{D})$ . Here

$$K_{-k,k}(z) := \frac{(-1)^k k}{\pi} z^{-k-1} \bar{z}^{k-1}.$$

These integrals can be analyzed with the well-known theory of Calderón and Zygmund [9, 10, 22] concerning singular integrals. The boundedness of  $P_k$  in  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$  was proved in [1] using Schur's test (see, for instance, [27]) and Forelli-Rudin lemma in [12].

The well-known Morrey spaces  $\mathcal{M}_{p,\lambda}$  introduced by C.B. Morrey in 1938 [19] in relation to the study of partial differential equations, were widely investigated during the last decades, including the study of classical operators of harmonic and real analysis - maximal, singular and potential operators - in generalizations of these spaces (so-called local Morrey-type spaces). The local Morrey-type spaces and the complementary local Morrey-type spaces introduced by Guliyev in the doctoral thesis [16] (see also [17]). The main purpose of [16] (also of [17, 18]) is to give some sufficient conditions for the boundedness of fractional integral operators and singular integral operators in complementary local Morrey-type spaces  ${}^cLM_{p\theta,\omega}(G)$  defined on homogeneous Lie groups  $G$ .

The research on complementary local Morrey-type spaces mainly includes the study of classical operators in these spaces (see, for instance, [8]). However, recently in a series of papers, authors started to study the structure of complementary local Morrey-type spaces and relation of these spaces with other known function spaces (see, for instance, [13, 14, 20]).

The aim of this paper is to study the boundedness of integral operators (1.6) in  ${}^cLM_{p\theta,\omega}(\mathbb{D})$ . Our main result is Theorem 5.1. This statement allows us to obtain apriori estimate for the solution of Schwarz BVP (1.1) with  $\gamma = c = 0$  in  ${}^cLM_{p\theta,\omega}(\mathbb{D})$  (see Theorem 5.3).

The paper is organized as follows. Some notations and definitions are given in Section 2. Some local estimates of sublinear operators satisfying Soria-Weiss condition (see (3.1) below) are obtained in Section 3 (see Theorem 3.1). The boundedness of such operators in complementary local Morrey-type spaces are proved in Section 4 (see Theorem 4.1). Finally our main results are presented in Section 5.

## 2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Now we make some conventions. Throughout the paper, we always denote by  $c$  or  $C$  a positive constant which is independent of the main parameters, but it may vary from line to line. However a constant with subscript such as  $c_1$  does not change in different occurrences. By  $a \lesssim b$ , ( $b \gtrsim a$ ) we mean that  $a \leq \lambda b$ , where  $\lambda > 0$  depends on inessential parameters. If  $a \lesssim b$  and  $b \lesssim a$ , we write  $a \approx b$  and say that  $a$  and  $b$  are equivalent. For a measurable set  $E$ ,  $\chi_E$  denotes the characteristic function of  $E$ . We define the Lebesgue measure of  $E$  by  $|E|$ . For  $0 < \rho < 1$ , let  $B(z, \rho) := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{D} : |z - \zeta| < \rho\}$  be the open ball centered at  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  of radius  $\rho$  and  ${}^cB(z, \rho) := {}^cB(z, \rho)$ .

The symbol  $\mathfrak{M}^+$  stands for the collection of all measurable functions on  $(0, \infty)$  which are non-negative, while  $\mathfrak{M}^\downarrow$  is used to denote the subset of those functions which are non-increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ .

For  $0 < p \leq \infty$  and  $w$  a weight function on a measurable subset  $E$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ , that is, locally integrable real-valued non-negative function on  $E$ , let us denote by

$L_{p,w}(E)$  the weighted Lebesgue space defined as the set of all measurable functions  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  for which the quantity

$$\|f\|_{L_{p,w}(E)} = \begin{cases} \left( \iint_E |f(\zeta)|^p w(\zeta) d\xi d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{for } p < \infty, \\ \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\zeta \in E} |f(\zeta)| w(\zeta) & \text{for } p = \infty \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

is finite. When  $w \equiv 1$ , we write simply  $L_p(E)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{L_p(E)}$  instead of  $L_{p,w}(E)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{L_{p,w}(E)}$ .

Recall the definition of weak Lebesgue space:

$$WL_p(E) := \left\{ f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ meas.} : \|f\|_{WL_p(E)} := \sup_{t>0} t |\{z \in E : |f(z)| > t\}|^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}.$$

**Convention 2.1.** We adopt the following conventions:

- Throughout the paper we put  $0 \cdot \infty = 0$ ,  $\infty/\infty = 0$  and  $0/0 = 0$ .
- For a fixed  $p$  with  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $p'$  denoted the dual exponent of  $p$ , namely,

$$p' := \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } p = 1, \\ \frac{p}{p-1} & \text{if } 1 < p < \infty, \\ 1 & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Recall the following complete characterization of the weighted Hardy inequality on the cone of non-increasing functions. We will use the notations:

$$U(t) := \int_0^t u(x) dx, \quad V(t) := \int_0^t v(x) dx, \quad W_*(t) := \int_t^\infty w(x) dx, \quad t > 0.$$

**Theorem 2.2** ([15], Theorems 2.5, 3.15, 3.16). *Let  $0 < q, p \leq \infty$  and  $u, v, w$  be weight functions on  $(0, \infty)$ . Then inequality*

$$\|H_u(f)\|_{L_{q,w}(0,\infty)} \leq c \|f\|_{L_{p,v}(0,\infty)}, \quad f \in \mathfrak{M}^\downarrow, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$H_u g(t) := \int_0^t g(s) u(s) ds, \quad g \in \mathfrak{M}^+,$$

with the best constant  $c$  holds if and only if the following holds:

- (i)  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$  and  $A_0 + A_1 < \infty$ , where

$$A_0 := \sup_{t>0} \left( \int_0^t U^q(\tau) w(\tau) d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(t),$$

$$A_1 := \sup_{t>0} W_*^{\frac{1}{q}}(t) \left( \int_0^t \left( \frac{U(\tau)}{V(\tau)} \right)^{p'} v(\tau) d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}},$$

and in this case  $c \approx A_0 + A_1$ ;

- (ii)  $\max\{q, 1\} < p < \infty$  and  $B_0 + B_1 < \infty$ , where

$$B_0 := \left( \int_0^\infty V^{-\frac{r}{p}}(t) \left( \int_0^t U^q(\tau) w(\tau) d\tau \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} U^q(t) w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

$$B_1 := \left( \int_0^\infty W_*^{\frac{r}{p}}(t) \left( \int_0^t \left( \frac{U(\tau)}{V(\tau)} \right)^{p'} v(\tau) d\tau \right)^{\frac{r}{p'}} w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

and in this case  $c \approx B_0 + B_1$ ;

(iii)  $q < p \leq 1$  and  $B_0 + C_0 < \infty$ , where

$$C_0 := \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in (0,t)} \frac{U^p(\tau)}{V(\tau)} \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} W_*^{\frac{r}{p}}(t) w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

and in this case  $c \approx B_0 + C_0$ ;

(iv)  $p \leq \min\{q, 1\} < \infty$  and  $D_0 < \infty$ , where

$$D_0 := \sup_{t>0} V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(t) \left( \int_0^\infty U^q(\min\{\tau, t\}) w(\tau) d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

and in this case  $c = D_0$ ;

(v)  $p \leq 1$  and  $q = \infty$  and  $E_0 < \infty$ , where

$$E_0 := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t>0} V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(t) \left( \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau>0} U(\min\{\tau, t\}) w(\tau) \right),$$

and in this case  $c = E_0$ ;

(vi)  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $q = \infty$  and  $F_0 < \infty$ , where

$$F_0 := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t>0} w(t) \left( \int_0^t \left( \int_\tau^t u(y) V^{-1}(y) dy \right)^{p'} v(\tau) d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}},$$

and in this case  $c = F_0$ ;

(vii)  $p = \infty$  and  $0 < q < \infty$  and  $G_0 < \infty$ , where

$$G_0 := \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^t \frac{u(y) dy}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in (0,y)} v(\tau)} \right)^q w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

and in this case  $c = G_0$ ;

(viii)  $p = q = \infty$  and  $H_0 < \infty$ , where

$$H_0 := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t>0} \left( \int_0^t \frac{u(y) dy}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in (0,y)} v(\tau)} \right) w(t),$$

and in this case  $c = H_0$ .

For the sake of completeness we recall the definition of spaces we are going to use, and some properties of them.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $0 < p, \theta \leq \infty$  and let  $\omega$  be a non-negative measurable function on  $(0, 1)$ . We denote by  ${}^cLM_{p\theta, \omega}(\mathbb{D})$ , the complementary local Morrey-type space, the space of all measurable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  with finite quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{{}^cLM_{p\theta, \omega}(\mathbb{D})} = \|\omega(r)\|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,r))}\|_{L_\theta(0,1)}.$$

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $0 < p, \theta \leq \infty$  and let  $\omega$  be a non-negative measurable function on  $(0, 1)$ . We denote by  $W^cLM_{p\theta, \omega}(\mathbb{D})$ , the weak complementary local Morrey-type space, the space of all measurable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbb{D}$  with finite quasinorms

$$\|f\|_{W^cLM_{p\theta, \omega}(\mathbb{D})} = \|\omega(r)\|f\|_{WL_p({}^cB(0,r))}\|_{L_\theta(0,1)}.$$

*Remark 2.5.* In view of the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega(r)\|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,r))}\|_{L_\theta(0,1)} &\geq \|\omega(r)\|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,r))}\|_{L_\theta(0,t)} \\ &\geq \|\omega\|_{L_\theta(0,t)} \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,t))}, \quad t \in (0, 1), \\ \|\omega(r)\|f\|_{WL_p({}^cB(0,r))}\|_{L_\theta(0,1)} &\geq \|\omega(r)\|f\|_{WL_p({}^cB(0,r))}\|_{L_\theta(0,t)} \\ &\geq \|\omega\|_{L_\theta(0,t)} \|f\|_{WL_p({}^cB(0,t))}, \quad t \in (0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

it is clear that

$${}^cLM_{p\theta,\omega}(\mathbb{D}) = W {}^cLM_{p\theta,\omega}(\mathbb{D}) = \{0\} \quad \text{when} \quad \|\omega(r)\|_{L_\theta(0,t)} = +\infty \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in (0,1).$$

Here  $\{0\}$  is the set of all functions equivalent to 0 on  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Definition 2.6.** We denote by  ${}^c\Omega_\theta$  the set of all non-negative measurable functions  $\omega$  on  $(0,1)$  such that

$$0 < \|\omega\|_{L_\theta(0,t)} < \infty, \quad t \in (0,1).$$

When considering  ${}^cLM_{p\theta,\omega}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $W {}^cLM_{p\theta,\omega}(\mathbb{D})$  we always assume that  $\omega \in {}^c\Omega_\theta$ .

We recall that the spaces  ${}^cLM_{p\theta,\omega}$  coincide with some weighted Lebesgue spaces.

**Theorem 2.7.** ([16, 17]) *Let  $1 \leq p < +\infty$  and  $\omega \in {}^c\Omega_p$ . Then*

$$L_{p,\tilde{\omega}(|\cdot|)}(\mathbb{D}) = {}^cLM_{pp,\omega}(\mathbb{D}),$$

and norms are equivalent, where

$$\tilde{\omega}(\tau) := \int_0^\tau \omega(t)^p dt.$$

### 3. LOCAL $L_p$ -ESTIMATES OF SUBLINEAR OPERATORS

Suppose that  $T$  represents a linear or a sublinear operator, which satisfies

$$|Tf(z)| \lesssim \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|z - \zeta|^2} d\xi d\eta, \quad (3.1)$$

for any  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{D})$  and  $z \notin \text{supp } f$  with a constant independent of  $f$  and  $z$ .

We point out that the condition (3.1), when  $f$  is defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , was introduced by Soria and Weiss in [21]. The Soria-Weiss condition is satisfied by many interesting operators in harmonic analysis, such as the Calderón-Zygmund singular operators, Carleson's maximal operators, Hardy-Littlewood maximal operators, C. Fefferman's singular multipliers, R. Fefferman's singular integrals, Ricci-Stein's oscillatory integrals, the Bochner-Riesz means and so on (cf. [21, 23, 22, 25]).

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that  $T$  is a sublinear operator satisfying condition (3.1).*

(i) *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $T$  be bounded on  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$ . If  $f$  is such that*

$$\int_0^\tau t^{\frac{2}{p'}-1} \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,t))} dt < \infty \quad \text{for all} \quad \tau \in (0,1), \quad (3.2)$$

then for any  $\tau \in (0,1)$  the following inequality holds with constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $f$  and  $\tau$ :

$$\|Tf\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,\tau))} \leq c\tau^{-\frac{2}{p'}} \int_0^\tau t^{\frac{2}{p'}-1} \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,t))} dt. \quad (3.3)$$

(ii) *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $T$  be bounded from  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$  to  $WL_p(\mathbb{D})$ . If  $f$  satisfies condition (3.2), then for any  $\tau \in (0,1)$  the following inequality holds with constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $f$  and  $\tau$ :*

$$\|Tf\|_{WL_p({}^cB(0,\tau))} \leq c\tau^{-\frac{2}{p'}} \int_0^\tau t^{\frac{2}{p'}-1} \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,t))} dt. \quad (3.4)$$

*Proof.* Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Applying Hölder's inequality, in view of the monotonicity of  $\|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,t))}$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\iint_{B(0,\tau)} |f(\zeta)| d\xi d\eta &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \iint_{B(0,2^{-n}\tau) \setminus B(0,2^{-n-1}\tau)} |f(\zeta)| d\xi d\eta \\
&\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |B(0,2^{-n}\tau)|^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \iint_{B(0,2^{-n}\tau) \setminus B(0,2^{-n-1}\tau)} |f(\zeta)|^p d\xi d\eta \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2^{-n}\tau)^{\frac{2}{p'}} \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,2^{-n-1}\tau))} \\
&\approx \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,2^{-n-1}\tau))} \int_{2^{-n-2}\tau}^{2^{-n-1}\tau} t^{\frac{2}{p'}-1} dt \\
&\lesssim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-n-2}\tau}^{2^{-n-1}\tau} t^{\frac{2}{p'}-1} \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,t))} dt \\
&= \int_0^{\tau/2} t^{\frac{2}{p'}-1} \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,t))} dt \quad \text{for all } \tau \in (0,1). \tag{3.5}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, if  $f$  satisfies condition (3.2), then  $f \in L_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{D})$ . On the other hand, condition (3.2) implies that  $f \in L_p({}^cB(0,\tau))$ ,  $\tau \in (0,1)$ . Consequently, if  $f$  satisfies condition (3.2), then  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{D})$ .

Assume that  $T$  is bounded on  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  or  $T$  is bounded from  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$  to  $WL_p(\mathbb{D})$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . First we prove that in both cases  $Tf(z)$  exists for a.a.  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and for any  $f$  satisfying condition (3.2).

Let  $\tau \in (0,1)$ . We write  $f = f_1 + f_2$  with  $f_1 = f\chi_{B(0,\tau/2)}$  and  $f_2 = f\chi_{B(0,\tau/2)^c}$ . By condition (3.2) it is clear that  $f \in L_p({}^cB(0,\tau/2))$ , so that  $f_1 \in L_p(\mathbb{D})$ . Consequently, the  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$ -boundedness of  $T$  in the case (i) or the boundedness of  $T$  from  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$  to  $WL_p(\mathbb{D})$  in the case (ii) implies the existence of  $Tf_1(z)$  for a.a.  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

Now we prove existence of  $Tf_2(z)$  for all  $z \in {}^cB(0,\tau)$ . Since  $z \in {}^cB(0,\tau)$ ,  $\zeta \in B(0,\tau/2)$  implies  $|z - \zeta| \geq |z| - |\zeta| \geq (1/2)|z|$ , noting that  $f_2 \in L_1(\mathbb{D})$ , in view of condition (3.1), we obtain that

$$|Tf_2(z)| \lesssim |z|^{-2} \iint_{B(0,\tau)} |f(\zeta)| d\xi d\eta, \quad z \in {}^cB(0,\tau). \tag{3.6}$$

This proves the existence of  $Tf_2(z)$  for all  $z \in {}^cB(0,\tau)$ . Sublinearity of  $T$  implies that  $|Tf(z)| \leq |Tf_1(z)| + |Tf_2(z)|$ , and the existence of  $Tf(z)$  for a.e.  $z \in {}^cB(0,\tau)$  follows from the existence of  $Tf_1(z)$  and  $Tf_2(z)$  for a.e.  $z \in {}^cB(0,\tau)$ . Since  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{\tau \in (0,1)} ({}^cB(0,\tau))$ , we get the existence of  $Tf(z)$  for a.e.  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

(i) Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $T$  is bounded on  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$ . To prove (3.3), we note that

$$\|Tf\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,\tau))} \leq \|Tf_1\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,\tau))} + \|Tf_2\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,\tau))}. \tag{3.7}$$

The boundedness of  $T$  on  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$  implies that

$$\|Tf_1\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,\tau))} \leq \|Tf_1\|_{L_p(\mathbb{D})} \lesssim \|f_1\|_{L_p(\mathbb{D})} \approx \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,\tau/2))}. \tag{3.8}$$

Since

$$\|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,\tau/2))} \lesssim \tau^{-\frac{2}{p'}} \int_0^{\tau/2} t^{\frac{2}{p'}-1} \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,t))} dt, \tag{3.9}$$

by inequality (3.8), we get that

$$\|Tf_1\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,\tau))} \lesssim \tau^{-\frac{2}{p'}} \int_0^\tau t^{\frac{2}{p'}-1} \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,t))} dt. \quad (3.10)$$

On the other hand, by (3.6), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf_2\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,\tau))} &= \left( \iint_{{}^cB(0,\tau)} |Tf_2(z)|^p dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\lesssim \left( \iint_{{}^cB(0,\tau)} \left( |z|^{-2} \iint_{B(0,\tau)} |f(\zeta)| d\xi d\eta \right)^p dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left( \iint_{{}^cB(0,\tau)} |z|^{-2p} dx dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \iint_{B(0,\tau)} |f(\zeta)| d\xi d\eta \right) \\ &\lesssim \tau^{-\frac{2}{p'}} \left( \iint_{B(0,\tau)} |f(\zeta)| d\xi d\eta \right). \end{aligned}$$

By inequality (3.5), we arrive at

$$\|Tf_2\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,\tau))} \lesssim \tau^{-\frac{2}{p'}} \int_0^\tau t^{\frac{2}{p'}-1} \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,t))} dt. \quad (3.11)$$

Combining inequalities (3.7), (3.10) and (3.11), we get (3.3).

(ii) Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $T$  be bounded from  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$  to  $WL_p(\mathbb{D})$ . To prove (3.4), we note that

$$\|Tf\|_{WL_p({}^cB(0,\tau))} \leq \|Tf_1\|_{WL_p({}^cB(0,\tau))} + \|Tf_2\|_{WL_p({}^cB(0,\tau))}. \quad (3.12)$$

The boundedness of  $T$  from  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$  to  $WL_p(\mathbb{D})$  implies that

$$\|Tf_1\|_{WL_p({}^cB(0,\tau))} \leq \|Tf_1\|_{WL_p(\mathbb{D})} \lesssim \|f_1\|_{L_p(\mathbb{D})} \approx \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,\tau/2))}. \quad (3.13)$$

By inequalities (3.13) and (3.9), we get that

$$\|Tf_1\|_{WL_p({}^cB(0,\tau))} \lesssim \tau^{-\frac{2}{p'}} \int_0^\tau t^{\frac{2}{p'}-1} \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,t))} dt. \quad (3.14)$$

On the other hand, by (3.6), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf_2\|_{WL_p({}^cB(0,\tau))} &\leq \| |z|^{-2} \|_{WL_p({}^cB(0,\tau))} \iint_{B(0,\tau)} |f(\zeta)| d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq \tau^{-\frac{2}{p'}} \int_0^\tau t^{\frac{2}{p'}-1} \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,t))} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Combining inequalities (3.12), (3.14) and (3.15), we get (3.4).

The proof is completed.  $\square$

#### 4. BOUNDEDNESS IN COMPLEMENTARY LOCAL MORREY-TYPE SPACES

The following statements hold true.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq \infty$  and  $\omega_i \in \Omega_{\theta_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Assume that  $T$  is a sublinear operator satisfying condition (3.1).*

(i) *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $T$  be bounded on  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$ . If*



(a)  $1 < \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 < \infty$ , and

$$\sup_{0 < t < 1} \left( \int_0^t \omega_2^{\theta_2}(\tau) d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}} \left( \int_0^t \omega_1^{\theta_1}(\tau) d\tau \right)^{-\frac{1}{\theta_1}} < \infty, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < t < 1} \left( \int_t^1 \omega_2^{\theta_2}(\tau) \tau^{-\frac{2\theta_2}{p'}} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}} \times \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_0^t \tau^{\frac{2\theta_1'}{p'}} \left( \int_0^\tau \omega_1^{\theta_1}(s) ds \right)^{-\theta_1'} \omega_1^{\theta_1}(\tau) d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_1'}} < \infty; \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

(b)  $\max\{\theta_2, 1\} < \theta_1 < \infty$ ,  $1/r = 1/\theta_2 - 1/\theta_1$ , and

$$\left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^t \omega_1^{\theta_1}(\tau) d\tau \right)^{-\frac{r}{\theta_1}} \left( \int_0^t \omega_2^{\theta_2}(\tau) d\tau \right)^{\frac{r}{\theta_1}} \omega_2^{\theta_2}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_t^1 \omega_2^{\theta_2}(\tau) \tau^{-\frac{2\theta_2}{p'}} d\tau \right)^{\frac{r}{\theta_1}} \times \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. \left( \int_0^t \tau^{\frac{2\theta_1'}{p'}} \left( \int_0^\tau \omega_1^{\theta_1}(s) ds \right)^{-\theta_1'} \omega_1^{\theta_1}(\tau) d\tau \right)^{-\frac{r}{\theta_1'}} \omega_2^{\theta_2}(t) t^{-\frac{2\theta_2}{p'}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty; \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

(c)  $\theta_2 < \theta_1 \leq 1$ ,  $1/r = 1/\theta_2 - 1/\theta_1$ , (4.3) holds and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_0^1 \left( \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in (0,t)} \tau^{\frac{2\theta_1'}{p'}} \left( \int_0^\tau \omega_1^{\theta_1}(s) ds \right)^{-1} \right)^{\frac{r}{\theta_1}} \times \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. \left( \int_t^1 \omega_2^{\theta_2}(\tau) \tau^{-\frac{2\theta_2}{p'}} d\tau \right)^{\frac{r}{\theta_1}} \omega_2^{\theta_2}(t) t^{-\frac{2\theta_2}{p'}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} < \infty; \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

(d)  $\theta_1 \leq \min\{\theta_2, 1\} < \infty$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 < t < 1} \left( \int_0^t \omega_1^{\theta_1}(s) ds \right)^{-\frac{1}{\theta_1}} \times \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_0^1 \left( \min \left\{ \tau^{\frac{2}{p'}}, t^{\frac{2}{p'}} \right\} \right)^{\theta_2} \omega_2^{\theta_2}(\tau) \tau^{-\frac{2\theta_2}{p'}} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}} < \infty; \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

(e)  $\theta_1 = \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta_2 < \infty$ , and

$$\left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_0^t \frac{y^{\frac{2}{p'}-1} dy}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in (0,y)} \omega_1(\tau)} \right)^{\theta_2} \omega_2^{\theta_2}(t) t^{-\frac{2\theta_2}{p'}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_2}} < \infty; \quad (4.7)$$

(f)  $\theta_1 \leq 1$ ,  $\theta_2 = \infty$ , and

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 < t < 1} \left( \int_0^t \omega_1^{\theta_1}(\tau) d\tau \right)^{-\frac{1}{\theta_1}} \left( \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 < \tau < 1} \min \left\{ \tau^{\frac{2}{p'}}, t^{\frac{2}{p'}} \right\} \omega_2(\tau) \tau^{-\frac{2}{p'}} \right) < \infty; \quad (4.8)$$

(g)  $1 < \theta_1 < \infty$ ,  $\theta_2 = \infty$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 < t < 1} \omega_2(t) t^{-\frac{2}{p'}} \times \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_0^1 \left( \int_\tau^t y^{\frac{2}{p'}-1} \left( \int_0^y \omega_1^{\theta_1}(s) ds \right)^{-1} dy \right)^{\theta_1'} \omega_1^{\theta_1}(\tau) d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta_1'}} < \infty; \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

(h)  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \infty$ , and

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 < t < 1} \left( \int_0^t \frac{y^{\frac{2}{p'}-1} dy}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\tau \in (0,y)} \omega_1(\tau)} \right) \omega_2(t) t^{-\frac{2}{p'}} < \infty, \quad (4.10)$$

then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that the inequality

$$\|Tf\|_{{}^cLM_{p\theta_2, \omega_2}(\mathbb{D})} \leq c \|f\|_{{}^cLM_{p\theta_1, \omega_1}(\mathbb{D})} \quad (4.11)$$

holds for all  $f \in {}^cLM_{p\theta_1, \omega_1}(\mathbb{D})$ .

(ii) Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $T$  be bounded from  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$  to  $WL_p(\mathbb{D})$ . If conditions (a) - (h) hold, then

$$\|Tf\|_{W {}^cLM_{p\theta_2, \omega_2}(\mathbb{D})} \leq c \|f\|_{{}^cLM_{p\theta_1, \omega_1}(\mathbb{D})} \quad (4.12)$$

holds for all  $f \in {}^cLM_{p\theta_1, \omega_1}(\mathbb{D})$  with constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $f$ .

*Proof.*

(i) Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $T$  be bounded on  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$  and conditions (a) - (h) hold. Assume that  $f \in {}^cLM_{p\theta_1, \omega_1}(\mathbb{D})$ . In view of Theorem 3.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{{}^cLM_{p\theta_2, \omega_2}(\mathbb{D})} &= \left\| \omega_2(\tau) \|Tf\|_{L_p({}^cB(0, \tau))} \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,1)} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \omega_2(\tau) \tau^{-\frac{2}{p'}} \int_0^\tau t^{\frac{2}{p'}-1} \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,t))} dt \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since conditions (a) - (h) hold, applying Theorem 2.2, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{{}^cLM_{p\theta_2, \omega_2}(\mathbb{D})} &\leq c \left\| \omega_1(t) \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,t))} \right\|_{L_{\theta_1}(0,1)} \\ &= c \|f\|_{{}^cLM_{p\theta_1, \omega_1}(\mathbb{D})}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $T$  be bounded from  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$  to  $WL_p(\mathbb{D})$  and conditions (a) - (h) hold. Assume that  $f \in {}^cLM_{p\theta_1, \omega_1}(\mathbb{D})$ . In view of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 2.2, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{W {}^cLM_{p\theta_2, \omega_2}(\mathbb{D})} &\lesssim \left\| \omega_2(\tau) \tau^{-\frac{2}{p'}} \int_0^\tau t^{\frac{2}{p'}-1} \|f\|_{L_p({}^cB(0,t))} dt \right\|_{L_{\theta_2}(0,1)} \\ &= c \|f\|_{{}^cLM_{p\theta_1, \omega_1}(\mathbb{D})}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\omega_i \in {}^c\Omega_p$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Assume that  $T$  is a sublinear operator satisfying condition (3.1), bounded on  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$ .

If conditions

$$\sup_{0 < t < 1} \left( \int_0^t \omega_2^p(\tau) d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_0^t \omega_1^p(\tau) d\tau \right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$\sup_{0 < t < 1} \left( \int_t^1 \tau^{2(1-p)} \omega_2^p(\tau) d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_0^t \left( \int_0^\tau \omega_1^p(s) ds \right)^{-p'} \tau^2 \omega_1^p(\tau) d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} < \infty \quad (4.14)$$

hold, then

$$\|Tf\|_{L_{p, \tilde{\omega}_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})} \leq c \|f\|_{L_{p, \tilde{\omega}_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})},$$

with constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $f$ . Here

$$\tilde{\omega}_i(t) := \int_0^t \omega_i(\tau)^p d\tau, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (4.15)$$

*Proof.* The statement follows from Theorems 4.1 and 2.7 when  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = p$ .  $\square$

## 5. MAIN RESULTS

As it is mentioned in the introduction, the operators  $\Pi_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  are bounded on  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Our main result in this paper is to extend these results to complementary local Morrey-type spaces.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq \infty$  and  $\omega_i \in {}^c\Omega_{\theta_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . If (a) - (h) hold then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that the inequality*

$$\|\Pi_k f\|_{{}^cLM_{p\theta_2, \omega_2}(\mathbb{D})} \leq c_2 \|f\|_{{}^cLM_{p\theta_1, \omega_1}(\mathbb{D})}$$

holds for all  $f \in {}^cLM_{p\theta_1, \omega_1}(\mathbb{D})$ .

*Proof.* First we will show that the operator  $\Pi_k$  satisfies condition (3.1). The inequality

$$\left| \frac{z - \zeta}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right| < 1 \quad \text{if } |z| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\zeta| < 1 \quad (5.1)$$

yields that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Pi_k f(z)| &\leq |T_{-k, k} f(z)| + |P_k f(z)| \\ &\leq \frac{k}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta - z|^2} d\xi d\eta + \frac{k}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{\zeta - z + \overline{\zeta - z}}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \bar{\zeta} - 1 \right|^{k-1} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|1 - z\bar{\zeta}|^2} d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq \frac{k}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta - z|^2} d\xi d\eta + \frac{k}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \left( 2 \left| \frac{z - \zeta}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} \right| |\zeta| + 1 \right)^{k-1} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|1 - z\bar{\zeta}|^2} d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq \frac{k}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta - z|^2} d\xi d\eta + \frac{k3^{k-1}}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|1 - z\bar{\zeta}|^2} d\xi d\eta \\ &\leq \frac{k}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta - z|^2} d\xi d\eta + \frac{k3^{k-1}}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta - z|^2} d\xi d\eta \\ &\lesssim \iint_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta - z|^2} d\xi d\eta \end{aligned}$$

for any  $f \in {}^cLM_{p\theta_1, \omega_1}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $z \notin \text{supp } f$ .

Since the operator  $\Pi_k$  is bounded on  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$ , by Theorem 4.1, we get that

$$\|\Pi_k f\|_{{}^cLM_{p\theta_2, \omega_2}(\mathbb{D})} \leq c \|f\|_{{}^cLM_{p\theta_1, \omega_1}(\mathbb{D})}.$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

In view of Theorem 2.7, by Theorem 5.1, we immediately get the following statement:

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\omega_i \in {}^c\Omega_p$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . If conditions (4.13) and (4.14) hold then*

$$\|\Pi_k f\|_{L_{p, \tilde{\omega}_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})} \leq c \|f\|_{L_{p, \tilde{\omega}_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{D})},$$

with constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $f$ , where  $\tilde{\omega}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are defined by (4.15).

*Proof.* Since the operator  $\Pi_k$  is bounded in  $L_p(\mathbb{D})$  and satisfies condition (3.1), the statement of Corollary 5.2 follows from Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

Since the function  $\tilde{T}_1 f$  is the solution of the Schwarz BVP

$$g_{\bar{z}} = f \text{ in } \mathbb{D}, \operatorname{Re} g = 0 \text{ on } \partial\mathbb{D}, \operatorname{Im} g(0) = 0, \quad (5.2)$$

when  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{D})$ , by Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.2, respectively, we get the following a priori estimates for the derivative of the solution of (5.2).

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 \leq \infty$  and  $\omega_i \in \mathring{\Omega}_{\theta_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . If (a) - (h) hold, then for the solution of (5.2) the inequality*

$$\|\partial_z g\|_{{}^c L M_{p\theta_2, \omega_2}(\mathbb{D})} \leq c \|f\|_{{}^c L M_{p\theta_1, \omega_1}(\mathbb{D})}$$

holds for all  $f \in {}^c L M_{p\theta_1, \omega_1}(\mathbb{D})$  with a constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $f$ .

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\omega_i \in \mathring{\Omega}_p$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . If conditions (4.13) and (4.14) hold, then for the solution of (5.2) the inequality*

$$\|\partial_z g\|_{L_{p, \tilde{\omega}_2(|\cdot|)}(\mathbb{D})} \leq c \|f\|_{L_{p, \tilde{\omega}_1(|\cdot|)}(\mathbb{D})},$$

holds for all  $f \in L_{p, \tilde{\omega}_1(|\cdot|)}(\mathbb{D})$  with a constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $f$ , where  $\tilde{\omega}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are defined by (4.15).

**Acknowledgments.** The research of V. Guliyev was partially supported by the grant of Presidium Azerbaijan National Academy of Science 2015. We thank the anonymous referee for his/her remarks.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Aksoy Ü., Çelebi A. O. Norm estimates of a class of Calderón-Zygmund type strongly singular integral operators. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 2007; (18) 1-2: 87–93.
- [2] Aksoy Ü., Çelebi A. O. Schwarz problem for higher-order complex elliptic partial differential equations. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* 2008; (19) 5-6: 413–428.
- [3] Begehr H. Iteration of the Pompeiu integral operator and complex higher order equations. (English summary) *Gen. Math.* 7 1999; no. 1-4: 3–23.
- [4] Begehr H., Gilbert R.P. *Transformations, transmutations, and kernel functions. Vol. 1.* Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 58. Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1992. x+399 pp. ISBN: 0-582-02695-4.
- [5] Begehr H., Gilbert R.P. *Transformations, transmutations, and kernel functions. Vol. 2.* (English summary) Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 59. Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1993. viii+268 pp. ISBN: 0-582-09109-8.
- [6] Begehr H., Hile G. N. A hierarchy of integral operators. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 1997; (27) 3: 669–706.
- [7] Begehr H., Schmersau D. The Schwarz problem for polyanalytic functions. (English summary) *Z. Anal. Anwendungen* 2005; 24. no. 2: 341–351.
- [8] Burenkov V.I., Guliyev H.V., Guliyev, V.S. On boundedness of the fractional maximal operator from complementary Morrey-type spaces to Morrey-type spaces. (English summary) *The interaction of analysis and geometry.* 17–32, *Contemp. Math.*, 424, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [9] Calderón A.P., Zygmund A. On the existence of certain singular integrals. *Acta Math.* 1952; 88: 85–139.
- [10] Calderón A.P., Zygmund A. On singular integrals. *Amer. J. Math.* 1956; 78: 289–309.
- [11] Dzhuraev A. *Methods of singular integral equations.* Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics Vol. 60 (Translated from the Russian; revised by the author) Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York. 1992; p. xii+311. ISBN:0-582-08373-7.
- [12] Forelli F., Rudin W. Projections on spaces of holomorphic functions in balls. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 1974/75; 24: 593–602.

- [13] Gogatishvili A., Mustafayev R.Ch. Dual spaces of local Morrey-type spaces. Czechoslovak Math. J. 2011; 61 (136) no. 3: 609–622.
- [14] Gogatishvili A., Mustafayev R.Ch. New pre-dual space of Morrey space. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2013; 397 no. 2: 678–692.
- [15] Gogatishvili A., Stepanov V.D. Reduction theorems for weighted integral inequalities on the cone of monotone functions. (Russian. Russian summary) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 68 2013; no. 4 (412): 3–68, translation in Russian Math. Surveys 2013; 68 no. 4: 597–664.
- [16] Guliyev V.S. Integral operators on function spaces on the homogeneous groups and on domains in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Doctor's degree dissertation. Mat. Inst. Steklov. Moscow. 1994; 329 pp. (in Russian).
- [17] Guliyev V.S. Function spaces, Integral Operators and Two Weighted Inequalities on Homogeneous Groups. Some Applications. Cashioglu. Baku. 1999; 332 pp. (in Russian)
- [18] Guliev V.S., Mustafayev R.Ch. Fractional integrals in spaces of functions defined on spaces of homogeneous type. (Russian. English, Russian summary) Anal. Math. 1998; 24 no. 3: 181–200.
- [19] Morrey C.B. Jr. On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 1938; 43 no. 1: 126–166.
- [20] Mustafayev R.Ch., Ünver T. Embeddings between weighted local Morrey-type spaces and weighted Lebesgue spaces. J. Math. Inequal. 2015; 9 no. 1: 277–296.
- [21] Soria F., Weiss G. A remark on singular integrals and power weights. (English summary) Indiana Univ. Math. J. 43 1994; no.1: 187–204.
- [22] Stein E.M. Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1970; xiv+290 pp.
- [23] Stein E.M., Weiss G. Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 32. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971. x+297 pp.
- [24] Schwarz H.A. Zur Integration der partiellen Differentialgleichung. Reine Angew. Math. 1872; 74: 218–253.
- [25] Torchinsky A. Real-variable methods in harmonic analysis. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 123. Academic Press, Inc. Orlando, FL, 1986. xii+462 pp. ISBN: 0-12-695460-7; 0-12-695461-5.
- [26] Vekua I.N. Generalized analytic functions. Pergamon Press, London-Paris-Frankfurt; Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass. 1962; p. xxix+668.
- [27] Zhu K. Operator theory in function spaces. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 2007; 138 Second edition. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI. 2007; p. xvi+348.

V.S. GULIYEV

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF AZERBAIJAN,, B. VAHABZADE ST. 9, BAKU, AZ 1141, AZERBAIJAN;; DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS, AHI EVRAN UNIVERSITY, 40100 KIRSEHIR, TURKEY;

*E-mail address:* [vagif@guliyev.com](mailto:vagif@guliyev.com)

K. KOCA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS, KIRIKKALE UNIVERSITY, 71450 YAHSIHAN, KIRIKKALE, TURKEY

*E-mail address:* [kerimkoca@gmail.com](mailto:kerimkoca@gmail.com)

R.CH. MUSTAFAYEV

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF AZERBAIJAN,, B. VAHABZADE ST. 9, BAKU, AZ 1141, AZERBAIJAN;; DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS, KIRIKKALE UNIVERSITY, 71450 YAHSIHAN, KIRIKKALE, TURKEY

*E-mail address:* [rzamustafayev@gmail.com](mailto:rzamustafayev@gmail.com)

T. ÜNVER

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS, KIRIKKALE UNIVERSITY, 71450 YAHSIHAN, KIRIKKALE, TURKEY

*E-mail address:* [tugceunver@gmail.com](mailto:tugceunver@gmail.com)